*Submit identify the com	Yes" if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is uded but needed. tters including the checklist with their submission should the page numbers where requested information is located. Use ments section for an element if additional space is needed to the location of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page#
	The submission uses alternative mitigation measures and provides rationale why the alternative measures provide an equivalent assurance of safety and effectiveness. Select "N/A" if there is no applicable special controls document or device-specific regulation. Select "No" if the submission does not include a rationale for any omitted information or any alternative approach as outlined above. Note that the adequacy of how such mitigation measures have been addressed should be assessed during the substantive review.				
	Comments:	1	1	1	ı

Decision:	Accept	Refuse to Accept
------------------	--------	------------------

If Accept, notify the applicant

If Refuse to Accept, notify applicant electronically and include a copy of this checklist.

Dig	gital Signature Concurrence Table
Reviewer Sign-Off	
Branch Chief Sign-Off (digital signature optional)*	
Division Sign-Off (digital signature optional)*	

^{*}Branch and Division review of checklist and concurrence with decision required. Branch and Division digital signature optional.

26

Abbreviated RTA Checklist

Appendix C

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Acceptance Checklist for Special 510(k)s

(Should be completed within 15 days of DCC receipt)

The following information is not intended to serve as a comprehensive review. FDA recommends that the submitter include this completed checklist as part of the submission.

Date Received by DCC:

510(k)#:

K

	Lead Reviewer:				
	Branch:	Division:	Center/Office:		
		t assess the element dur	loes not mean the checklist is ing the RTA review and that t	-	
Tł	ne submission should not be re	Special 510(k) eviewed as a Special 510		y of the 4	criteria
	elow. Complete the Refuse to				
				Yes	No
1.	510(k) is submitted to modithe Special 510(k) submissi the predicate device.		(4		
	Comments:				
2.	Indications for Use of the p marketed device (predicate	-	changed from the legally		
	Comments:				
3.	Fundamental scientific tech from the legally marketed of		ed device is unchanged		
	Comments:				
4.	The submission includes on reports with performance of and are conducted under des demonstrate continued confortant standard, then a Special 510	data). Note that if perfo sign validation (21 CFR ormance with a special o	rmance data are provided 820.30(g)), for example, to control or recognized		
	Comments:				
Do	es the submission meet all 4	criteria above?			
	Yes, submission meets cri	teria for a Special 510(l	x). Continue checklist below.		
	No, submission does not n convert to a Traditional an	*	al 510(k). Discontinue this Rachecklist.	ΓA check	list,

	Organizational Elements Failure to include these items should not result in an RTA designation.						
the con	abmitters including the checklist with their submission should identify page numbers where requested information located. Use the nments section for an element if additional space is needed to identify location of supporting information.	Yes	No	*Page #			
1.	Submission contains a Table of Contents.						
2.	Each section is labeled (e.g., headings or tabs designating Device Description section, Labeling section, etc.).						
3.	All pages of the submission are numbered. All pages should be numbered in such a manner that information can be referenced by page number. This may be done either by consecutively numbering the entire submission, or numbering the pages within a section (e.g., 12-1, 12-2).						
4.	Type of 510(k) is identified (i.e., Traditional, Abbreviated, or Special) If type of 510(k) is not designated, review as a Traditional 510(k).						
Con	mments:						

Elements of a Complete Submission (RTA Items) (21 CFR 807.87 unless otherwise indicated)

Submission should be designated RTA if not addressed

- Any "No" answer will result in a "Refuse to Accept" decision; however, FDA staff has discretion to determine whether missing items are needed to ensure that the submission is administratively complete to allow the submission to be accepted or to request missing checklist items interactively from submitters during the RTA review.
- Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the submission. The submitter may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criterion is considered present (Yes). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the submission.

		Yes" if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is ided but needed.				
the con	page nment	ters including the checklist with their submission should identify numbers where requested information located. Use the is section for an element if additional space is needed to identify on of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page#
A.	Adn	ninistrative				
	1.	All content used to support the submission is written in English (including translations of test reports, literature articles, etc.).				

			'if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is but needed.				
*Su the	ıbmitt page nment	ters i	including the checklist with their submission should identify abers where requested information located. Use the ction for an element if additional space is needed to identify of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page#
		Co	mments:				
	2.	CD	omission identifies the following (FDA recommends use of the ORH Premarket Review Submission Cover Sheet form [Form 14]):				
		a.	Device trade/proprietary name				
		b.	Device class and panel or Classification regulation or Statement that device has not been classified with rationale for that conclusion				
		Co	mments:				
	3.	and gui Red See (htt	omission contains an Indication for Use Statement with Rx Nor OTC designated (see also 21 CFR 801.109, and FDA's dance "Alternative to Certain Prescription Devices Labeling quirements.") The recommended format to the indication of the indication				
		Co	mments:				
	4.	Rej Sui	omission contains a 510(k) Summary or 510(k) Statement. Fer to 21 CFR 807.92 and 21 CFR 807.93 for contents of 510(k) mmary and Statement, respectively. Adequacy of the content will assessed during substantive review.				
		Co	mments:				
	5.	CF See (ht)	omission contains a Truthful and Accuracy Statement per 21 R 807.87(k). The recommended format ty://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidan HowtoMarketYourDevice/PremarketSubmissions/PremarketNot the ation 510k/ucm142707.htm).				
		Co	mments:	ı	T	T	T
	6.		omission is a Class III 510(k) Device. ect "N/A" only if submission is not a Class III 510(k).				
		a.	Contains Class III Summary and Certification				

		Yes" if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is				
not	t inclu	ded but needed.				
the	page	ers including the checklist with their submission should identify numbers where requested information located. Use the s section for an element if additional space is needed to identify				
		on of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page#
		See recommended <u>content</u> (<u>http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDevice/PremarketSubmissions/PremarketNotification510k/ucm142662.htm</u>). Select "N/A" only if submission is not a Class III 510(k).				
		Comments				
	7.	The submission identifies prior submissions for the same device included in the current submission (e.g., submission numbers for a prior not substantially equivalent [NSE] determination, prior deleted or withdrawn 510(k), Pre-Submission, IDE, PMA, etc.). OR				
		States that there were no prior submissions for the subject device.				
		Prior submissions (or no prior submissions) for this device should be included in Section F (prior related submissions) of the CDRH Premarket Review Submission Cover Sheet form (Form 3514). This information may also be included in the Cover Letter (i.e., as a statement that there were no prior submissions for the device or a listing of the number(s) of the prior submissions).				
		a. If there were prior submissions, the submitter has identified where in the current submission any issues related to a determination of substantial equivalence from prior submissions for this device are addressed. To address this criterion, it is recommended that the submission include a separate section with the prior submission number(s), a copy of the FDA feedback (e.g., letter, meeting minutes), and a statement of how or where in the submission this prior feedback was addressed. Note that adequacy of how the feedback was addressed will be assessed during the substantive review. Select "N/A" if the submitter states there were no prior submissions.				
		Comments:				
B.	Devi	ce Description				
	8.	The device has a device-specific guidance document, special controls document, and/or requirements in a device-specific regulation regarding device description that is applicable to the				

		es" if item is pulled but needed.	resent, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is				
the con	page nment	umbers where section for an	e checklist with their submission should identify requested information located. Use the element if additional space is needed to identify		N T	DI/A	*D //
the	locati	n of supporting subject device.	g information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page #
		· ·	ted, parts a and b below are omitted from the				
		recommend OR The submis address the Select "N/A guidance. S rationale fo approach a recommend	sion addresses device description lations outlined in the device-specific guidance. sion provides an alternative approach intended to applicable statutory and/or regulatory criteria. "if there is no applicable device-specific lelect "No" if the submission does not include a rany omitted information or any alternative soutlined above. Note that the adequacy of how lations in a device-specific guidance, etc., have used should be assessed during the substantive				
		addresses recontrols does the device. OR The submist provides rate equivalent a select "N/A document of submission information Note that the been address review.	sion includes device description information that elevant mitigation measures set forth in a special cument or device-specific regulation applicable to sion uses alternative mitigation measures and tionale why the alternative measures provide an assurance of safety and effectiveness. I'' if there is no applicable special controls or device-specific regulation. Select "No" if the does not include a rationale for any omitted a or any alternative approach as outlined above. The adequacy of how such mitigation measures have used should be assessed during the substantive				
		Comments:					
	9.	submission (e.g.	ormation is present and consistent within the ., the device description section is consistent with ription in the labeling).				
		Comments:					
	10.	The submission	includes descriptive information for the device,				

			'if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is but needed.				
*Su the	ıbmitt page nment	ters i	including the checklist with their submission should identify abers where requested information located. Use the ction for an element if additional space is needed to identify	N/	N Y		ψD //
the	locati		of supporting information. luding the following:	Yes	No	N/A	*Page #
		a.	A description of the principle of operation or mechanism of action for achieving the intended effect.				
		b.	A description of proposed conditions of use, such as surgical technique for implants; anatomical location of use; user interface; how the device interacts with other devices; and/or how the device interacts with the patient.				
		c.	A list and description of each device for which clearance is requested. Select "N/A" if there is only one device or model. "Device" may refer to models, part numbers, various sizes, etc.				
		d.	Submission contains representative engineering drawing(s), schematics, illustrations, photos and/or figures of the device. OR Submission includes a statement that engineering drawings, schematics, etc. are not applicable to the device (e.g., device is a reagent and figures are not pertinent to describe the device). In lieu of engineering drawings, schematics, etc. of each device to be marketed, "representative" drawings, etc. may be provided, where "representative" is intended to mean that the drawings, etc. provided capture the differences in design, size, and other important characteristics of the various models, sizes, or versions of the device(s) to be marketed.				
		Co	mments:	•			
	11.		description of all device modification(s) including rationale for the modification.				
		Co	mments:				
	12.	acc Sel mu	vice is intended to be marketed with multiple components, sessories, and/or as part of a system. ect "N/A" if the device is not intended to be marketed with ltiple components, accessories, and/or as part of a system. If 1/A" is selected, parts a-c below are omitted from the checklist.				
		a.	Submission includes a list of all components and accessories to be marketed with the subject device.				

			'if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is but needed.				
*Su the	ıbmitt page nment	ters i	including the checklist with their submission should identify abers where requested information located. Use the ction for an element if additional space is needed to identify	Vag	No	NI/A	*Dogo #
tne	locau		of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page #
		b.	Submission includes a description (as detailed in item 10a., 10b., and 10d. above) of each component or accessory. Select "N/A" if the component(s)/accessory(ies) has been previously cleared, or is exempt, and the proposed indications				
			for use are consistent with the cleared indications.				
		c.	A 510(k) number is provided for each component or accessory that received a prior 510(k) clearance AND A statement is provided that identifies components or accessories that have not received prior 510(k) clearance.				
		Co	mments:				
C.	Subs	stan	tial Equivalence Discussion				
	13.		omitter has identified a predicate device(s), including the lowing information:				
		a.	Predicate device identifier provided (e.g., 510(k) number, de novo number, reclassified PMA number, regulation number if exempt or statement that the predicate is a preamendment device). For predicates that are preamendments devices, information is				
			provided to document preamendments status.				
			Information regarding documenting preamendment status is available online (http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/MedicalDeviceQualityandCompliance/ucm379552.htm).				
		b.	The identified predicate(s) is consistent throughout the submission (e.g., the predicate(s) identified in the Substantial Equivalence section is the same as that listed in the 510(k) Summary (if applicable) and that used in comparative performance testing.				
		Co	mments:				
	14.	pre dif	omission includes a comparison of the following for the dicate(s) and subject device and a discussion why any ferences between the subject and predicate(s) do not impact ety and effectiveness [see section 513(i)(1)(A) of the FD&C Act				

			' if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is but needed.				
the con	page nment	nun s se	including the checklist with their submission should identify obsers where requested information located. Use the ction for an element if additional space is needed to identify of supporting information.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page#
			1 21 CFR 807.87(f)]				g
		<u>Pre</u> infe	e "The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in emarket Notifications [510(k)]" guidance document for more formation on comparing intended use and technological aracteristics.				
		a.	Indications for use If there are no differences between the subject device and the predicate(s) with respect to indications and intended use, this should be explicitly stated.				
		b.	Technology, including features, materials, and principles of operation				
			Examples of technological characteristics include, but are not limited to design, features, materials, energy source, and principle of operation.				
			FDA recommends a tabular format for comparing technological characteristics. Any characteristic that is the same as the predicate(s) should be explicitly stated. Differences in technological characteristics should be identified and a rationale provided why they do not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness.				
D.	Desi	gn (Control Activities				
	15.	De	sign Control Activities Summary includes all of the following:				
		a.	Identification of Risk Analysis method(s) used to assess the impact of the modification on the device and its components AND the results of the analysis				
		b.	Based on the Risk Analysis, an identification of the verification and/or validation activities required, including methods or tests used and acceptance criteria				
		c.	Declaration of conformity with design controls. All 3 below must be present to answer "Yes." i. Statement that all verification and validation activities were performed by designated individuals and results demonstrate that predetermined acceptance criteria were met.				

*Su the	t inclu ibmiti page iment	ided ters nun ts se	if item is present, "N/A" if it is not needed and "No" if it is but needed. including the checklist with their submission should identify abers where requested information located. Use the ction for an element if additional space is needed to identify				
tne	locat	on (ii. Statement that manufacturing facility is in conformance with design control procedure requirements as specified in 21 CFR 820.30. iii. Statement is signed by the individual responsible for these activities.	Yes	No	N/A	*Page #
		Co	mments:				
E.	Proposed Labeling (see also 21 CFR parts 801 and 809 as applicable)						
	16.		bmission includes proposed package labels and labeling (e.g., tructions for use, package insert, operator's manual).				
		a.	All changes in proposed labeling resulting from device modification(s) are highlighted or prominently identified.				
		Co	mments:				
	17.	des	scribed in the labeling, has not changed as a result of the diffication(s).				
		Co	mments:				

Decision: Accept____ Refuse to Accept____

If Accept, notify the applicant

Division digital signature optional.